

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

Superintendent: _____

Evaluator: _____

Name

Signature

Date

Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (*Reference performance goals; check one for each set of goal[s].*)

Student Learning Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	☐ Met	☐ Exceeded
Professional Practice Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	☐ Met	☐ Exceeded
District Improvement Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	☐ Met	☐ Exceeded

Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (*Reference Performance Ratings per Standard; check one box for each Standard.*)

Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of *Needs Improvement*, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.

Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected.

Proficient = **Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance.**

Exemplary = A rating of *Exemplary* indicates that practice significantly exceeds *Proficient* and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.

	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership (Student Learning Goal)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Standard II: Management and Operations (Professional Practice Goal ~ Policy & Budget)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement (District Improvement Goal)	☐	☐	☐	☐
Standard IV: Professional Culture (All 3: District Improvement, Student Learning, & Professional Practice Goals)	☐	☐	☐	☐

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (*Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.*)

☐ **Unsatisfactory**

☐ **Needs Improvement**

☐ **Proficient**

☐ **Exemplary**

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of *Exemplary*, *Needs Improvement* or *Unsatisfactory*.

Comments:

Superintendent's Performance Goals

Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.

Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Student Learning Goal (Goal 1)	Standard I: Instructional Leadership I-C: Assessment I-D: Evaluation I-E: Data-Informed Decision Making Standard IV: Professional Culture IV-A: Commitment to High Standards IV-E Shared Vision	By May 2024, the Superintendent will meet weekly with the newly formed administrative team to move the district towards developing a competency-based education through which they will expand the possibilities for all students to follow a variety of pathways towards graduation and align to the vision designed in the Superintendent's upcoming work around the Portrait of a Graduate. With administrators, she will review progress on the administrative goal of using real data for instructional improvement, the consistency and accountability of administration evaluation (specifically in the areas of instructional practices and assessment), and participate in classroom walkthroughs with a focus on instructional practices. Evidence will be effectively measured by: - A record of dates and times from each weekly meeting between the administrative team and the Superintendent, including a meeting schedule and summary of those meetings. - Evidence of a review of real data between the Superintendent and principals including: Aimsweb data, MA Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) data, District Accountability/School District Report Card. - Dates/time/locations of classroom walkthroughs in each building to include feedback to administrators on effective leadership practices. - Provision of effective feedback on Educator Evaluation for administrative team.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

District Improvement Goal (Goal 2)	Standard III: Family & Community Engagement III-A: Engagement III-D: Family Concerns Standard IV: Professional Culture IV-C: Communications	By May 2024, the Superintendent will have demonstrated community outreach through attendance at school and community meetings, organizations, and events in both Boylston and Berlin. Evidence will be effectively measured by: • Attendance and participation at each Parent/Teacher Organization meeting <i>at least once</i> during the year. • Attendance and participation in <i>at least one</i> SEPAC meeting during the year. • Attendance and participation in <i>at least one</i> community event in each town during the year. • Attendance and participation in <i>at least one</i> municipal meeting in each town during the year. • Facilitation of <i>at least one</i> Berlin-Boylston Crisis Team Meeting.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Professional Practice Goal (Goal 3)	Standard II: Management & Operations II-E: Fiscal Systems Standard IV: Professional Culture IV-A: Commitment to High Standards IV-D: Continuous Learning	By May 2024, the Superintendent will strengthen her understanding of the operation of a regional school district as it pertains to policy and budget. With her mentor, she will focus on professional growth in regional school systems and budget processes. She will maintain a log of meetings and discussions with her mentor along with issues that arise throughout the year, from personnel matters to communications with stakeholders. Effectiveness will be measured by: • A list of meeting dates and discussion topics addressed including resources consulted as related to regional schools and budgetary processes. • Evidence of workshops, trainings, etc. focused on areas of budget and regional schools.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)

	U	NI	P	E
I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.	☐	☐	☐	☐
I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.	☐	☐	☐	☐
I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Goal 1: Student Learning ~ Instructional Leadership	☐	☐	☐	☐
I-D. Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. Goal 1: Student Learning ~ Instructional Leadership	☐	☐	☐	☐
I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. Goal 1: Student Learning ~ Instructional Leadership	☐	☐	☐	☐
I-F. Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available.	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.			
OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.	☐	☐	☐	☐
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary</i>, <i>Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>):				

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	P	E
II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs.	☐	☐	☐	☐
II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.	☐	☐	☐	☐
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.	☐	☐	☐	☐
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.	☐	☐	☐	☐
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. Goal 3: Professional Practice Goal ~ Policy & Budget	☐	☐	☐	☐
OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.	☐	☐	☐	☐
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary</i>, <i>Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>):				

Massachusetts Department of
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY
EDUCATION

	U	NI	P	E
	☐	☐	☐	☐
	☐	☐	☐	☐
	☐	☐	☐	☐
		☐	☐	☐
	☐	☐	☐	☐

U	NI	P	E
☐	☐	☐	☐

☐	☐	☐	☐
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

☐	☐	☐	☐

--	--	--	--	--

☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Unsatisfactory):

Massachusetts Department
ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY
EDUCATION

U	NI	P	E
---	----	---	---

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent 2019 Page 8 of 7

I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning			IV-F. Managing Conflict